Application No. 10/019,370

Amendment dated February 24, 2004

Reply to Office Action of November 18, 2003

Amendments to the Claims

The following listing of claims will replace all prior versions and listings of the claims in the

application:

5)

Claims 1-21 (previously canceled).

Claim 22 (currently amended): A computer-implemented system for analyzing nuclear magnetic

resonance data, wherein the data contains at least one relaxation signal of a sample, the system

comprising:

at least one analyzing means that separates the data into at least two parts that are differently

dependent on an echo time T_E and calculates a statistical fluctuation of a noise signal g.

Claim 23 (previously presented): The computer-implemented system of claim 22, wherein said

analyzing means separates the data into at least one part that is dependent on the echo time T_E and

into at least another part that is not dependent on the echo time T_E, and said analyzing means

acquires the data that is dependent on the echo time T_E as activation signals.

Claim 24 (currently amended): A nuclear magnetic resonance tomograph comprising:

a computer-implemented system for analyzing nuclear magnetic resonance data, wherein the

data contains at least one relaxation signal of a sample, said computer-implemented system including

at least one analyzing means that separates the data into at least two parts that are differently

dependent on an echo time T_E and calculates a statistical fluctuation of a noise signal g.

Claim 25 (currently amended): A computer-implemented method for analyzing nuclear magnetic

315639_1.DOC

Page 2 of 8

Application No. 10/019,370

Amendment dated February 24, 2004

Reply to Office Action of November 18, 2003

resonance data, wherein the data contains at least one relaxation signal of a sample, the method

comprising:

separating the data into at least two parts that are differently dependent on an echo time T_E;

and

4

calculating a statistical fluctuation of a noise signal g.

Claim 26 (previously presented): The computer-implemented method of claim 25, wherein the

separating step comprises separating intensity values of the data into at least two parts that are

differently dependent on the echo time T_E.

Claim 27 (previously presented): The computer-implemented method of claim 26, further comprising

calculating a statistical variation of the intensities.

Claim 28 (previously presented): The computer-implemented method of claim 27, further comprising

calculating a standard deviation of the intensities.

Claim 29 (previously presented): The computer-implemented method of claim 25, wherein the

separating step comprises separating the relaxation signal into at least one part that is dependent on

the echo time T_E and into at least another part that is not dependent on the echo time T_E .

Claim 30 (previously presented): The computer-implemented method of claim 25, further comprising

calculating at least one signal that is proportional to $T_E \exp(-T_E / T_2)$.

315639_1.DOC

Page 3 of 8

2

Claim 31 (previously presented): The computer-implemented method of claim 30, further comprising

calculating T_2 with the formula $S = S_0 \exp(-T_E / T_2) + g$.

Claim 32 (previously presented): The computer-implemented method of claim 25, further comprising

calculating statistical fluctuations of ΔT_2 .

Claim 33 (previously presented): The computer-implemented method of claim 32, further comprising

calculating a standard deviation $\sigma(\Delta T_2^*)$.

Claim 34 (previously presented): The computer-implemented method of claim 33, further comprising

calculating a quotient $\sigma(\Delta T_2)$ / T_2 that represents a measure of an activity.

Claim 35 (previously presented): The computer-implemented method of claim 25, further comprising

calculating a statistical deviation of an initial intensity S₀.

Claim 36 (previously presented): The computer-implemented method of claim 35, further comprising

calculating a standard deviation $\sigma(\Delta T_2)$.

Claim 37 (previously presented): The computer-implemented method of claim 36, further comprising

calculating a quotient $\sigma(\Delta S_0) / S_0$.

315639_1.DOC

Page 4 of 8

Application No. 10/019,370 Amendment dated February 24, 2004 Reply to Office Action of November 18, 2003

Claim 38 (canceled).

4

Claim 39 (currently amended): The computer-implemented method of claim 38 $\underline{25}$, further comprising calculating a standard deviation $\sigma(g)$ of the noise signal g.

Claim 40 (previously presented): The computer-implemented method of claim 25, further comprising acquiring the data in a two-dimensional field, wherein a field axis (DTE) acquires the echo times T_E , and another field axis (DTR) reproduces repetitions of excitations at a time interval T_R .

Claim 41 (currently amended): The computer-implemented method of claim 40, further comprising calculating a standard deviation $\sigma(\Delta T_2)$ and a standard deviation $\sigma(g)$ of a the noise signal g using the following steps:

- (i) averaging signals over DTR to an exponential decay as a function of DTE and determining S_0 and T_2^* ;
- (ii) calculating $\sigma(\Delta S_0)$, $\sigma(\Delta T_2)$ and $\sigma(g)$ for several voxels and different T_E , followed by averaging these values over at least one region of interest (ROI);
 - (iii) calculating

$$\frac{\sigma(\Delta S)}{S_0} = \left\{ \left[\left(\frac{T_E}{T_s^*} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\sigma(\Delta T_2^*)}{T_2^*} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\sigma(\Delta S_0)}{S_0} \right)^2 - 2 \frac{T_E}{T_2^*} \frac{\left(\Delta S_0 \Delta T_2^*\right)}{S_0 T_2^*} \right] \varepsilon^{-2T_E/T_2^*} + \left(\frac{\sigma(g)}{S_0} \right)^2 \right\}^{1/2}; \text{ and }$$

(iv) determining $\sigma(\Delta S) / S_0$ as a function of T_E .

Claim 42 (previously presented): The computer-implemented method of claim 41, wherein the

Application No. 10/019,370 Amendment dated February 24, 2004 Reply to Office Action of November 18, 2003

expression $<\Delta S_0 \Delta T_2^*>=0$ is used for the calculation of $\sigma(\Delta S_0)/S_0$.